

Applicant(s)

Go/eta/

Art Unit

2164

| Interview | Summary |
|-----------|---------|
|-----------|---------|

Application No. 08/99 297 Examiner /

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): |
|--|
| (1) Ma. Millin (SPE) (3) Ma. Canssen (a Haz) |
| (1) MA. Millin (SPE) (3) MA. Sunstein (a Hag) (2) MA. AKENS (Examens) (4) MA. POOL INVENTUR (5) MN. LAZERMAN (CHAG) |
| (1) MA. Millin (SPE) (2) MA. Sunstein (a Hag) (4) MA. POOL INVENTUR Date of Interview 4/18/0, (5) MN. LAZERMAN (CHAY) |
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) (2 Yes e' No. If yes, brief description: |
| PRIOR ART Submitted - Sie affachel IDS |
| Claim(s) discussed:a // |
| Identification of prior art discussed: 5 hell, CAHN AND ART SUBJECTED INTERVIEW (GUIDE TO INCOTERAS. UCP SOO, AND BASIC GUIDE TO EXPORTING - SEE Attached IDE |
| UCP SOO, AND BASIC GUIDE TO EXPENTING - SEP HHALPER IDC |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SCHOLD CAMP WAS DISCUSSED Applicants DID REJECTION (PAPER NG 18) WOULD STILL BE MINTERED. |
| Applicants Newly Sulm. Had PRIOR ANT DURING INTERVIEW ANGS DISCUSSED BUT DID NOT CONVINCE The Examiner that the PETECTION WAS IMPROPER. |
| |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached |
| |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

VINCENT MILLIN

SUPERVISORY PATENEXAMMENS Prignature, if required

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100